



MINUTES OF THE GREENFIELD BOARD OF HEALTH HELD AT THE GREENFIELD CITY HALL ON THURSDAY, MAY 11, 2017, AT 6:30 PM

1. Meeting called to order @ 6:31 PM

Meeting called to order at 6:31 PM

ROLL CALL:

Aldersperson Pam Akers	Present
Darren J. Rausch, MS, CPH	Present
Andrew Martinez, Phar	Present
Allen Owen, D.D.S.	Present
Mary Kitten, R.N.	Present
Luanne Wielichowski, R.N.	Present
Leon A. Saryan, Ph.D.	Absent
Cindy Leranthe	Present from 6:35PM to 8:14PM
Richard Dettman, Ph.D., M.Ed.	Present

2. Approval of the March 9, 2017 Meeting Minutes
Corrections need to be made.

Approval of 3/9/17 Minutes

Next Agenda

3. Citizen Commentary

Citizen Commentary

None

4. Beekeeping Appeal (6:45pm)

Beekeeping Appeal

As a reminder, the beekeeping ordinance enacted in 2016 provides for neighbor notification (immediate neighbors and those diagonal to the applicant) with 14 days for response. This notification is for new beekeeping applications only. The current applicant, Laura Powers of 8833 W Melody Ln, submitted her application on March 24, 2017. A total of five notices were sent out to neighbors, and two objections were received. The first objection was received from Martin Mendola, 8804 W Forest Home Ave, and the second objection was received from Alex Jasinski, 8828 W Forest Home Ave. On her application Ms. Powers

identifies that she is enrolled in the UW Extension beekeeping certification course, which will provide mentorship throughout the first year. She has educated herself through a variety of books, internet sites, and is also a member of the Milwaukee-Waukesha Beekeepers Association. She proposes one hive in the southeast corner of her property.

Ms. Powers addressed the Board regarding her application. She explained that the importance of bees and the decline of honey bees was a major factor in her becoming interested in beekeeping. Ms. Powers also stated that she has always had an interest in bees.

Mr. Mendola addressed the board with his concerns. He is mainly concerned about the location of the hive as it is close to his property and shed. He does not want to have bees near him and is concerned about bee stings while he is outside. He does not feel bees belong in a residential area.

Board members explained the history and research that went into making the decision to allow for the keeping of bees in Greenfield.

Mr. Jasinski addressed the Board of Health regarding his objection to the proposed bee hive. He is also of the opinion that bees should not be kept in residential areas but does acknowledge that bees are important. He feels bees will affect the tranquility of his backyard. He is concerned about his dog being stung. He is also concerned about the resale value of his home due to his neighbor's hives. Mr. Jasinski was unhappy that notification of beekeeping was not mailed to every resident.

The Board of Health members explained that the nature of honeybees is to fly away from the hive to seek food sources and that they do not tend to go to areas near their hives. It was reiterated by Mr. Rausch that beekeeping is done in many densely populated urban areas such as Manhattan, New York, Seattle, Chicago, as well as in Milwaukee. Mr. Rausch also explained the outreach methods the city used to notify residents of the proposed ordinance change. Those methods included newspaper notifications and articles as well as an article placed in the Recreator that is mailed to all residents.

A motion was made to grant the beekeeping permit to Ms. Laura Powers who resides at 8833 W. Melody Lane with the caveat that she be followed up with through the season was made by Andrew Martinez, seconded by Luanne Wielichowski. The motion carried unanimously.

5. Discussion and Decision to Endorse the 2017 Community Health Assessment

The Community Health Assessment (CHA) is not yet completed and is not ready for endorsement at this time. CHA feedback survey closed in

Discussion and Decision to Endorse the 2017 CHA

late April. Fourteen responses were received, but despite the low response the feedback was positive and consistent with the members of the Healthiest Greenfield Coalition. Overall it appears that the draft CHA does a good job of outlining the process used to identify health priorities, and is clear and understandable to the general public. Mr. Rausch is still strategizing how best to provide more of the data that was used in the early stages; this may be an appendix or a stand-alone document, but is still to be determined.

The final document is not ready for review this evening as Mr. Rausch and department staff are still working to complete it. The plan will be to share it with the Board of Health prior to the July meeting and obtain endorsement and approval at that time. This may delay the subsequent approval of the Community Health Improvement Plan, but it's better to have it correct and accurate than approve it hastily.

Next Agenda

6. Discussion and Decision related to Environmental Health inspections of state licensed temporary and mobile establishments

The recent merger of the two former food safety oversight organizations, the Wisconsin Division of Food Safety and Recreational Licensing and the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection, into one umbrella organization has created changes for all agent health departments. Agent departments provide all oversight, inspection and licensing for food establishments, hotels, pools, and more. With the merger, DATCP as the new oversight agency, has determined that they will license all temporary and mobile establishments. In theory this should streamline the process, but still creates a need for local agent programs to inspect these mobile establishments when they are attending events in our city. This was an issue in the past, with one agent department issuing a license and others simply conducting an inspection with appropriate fee; the fee charged was \$45. To ensure food safety, the department proposes continuing the practice of charging an inspection fee but tying this fee to a particular geographic location and/or event (considering Jansen, 4th of July, Amphitheatre events, Harley, food truck at Bartz's, etc.).

We don't see this as creating additional inspections, but rather wanted to address the policy-level issue with the Board given this significant change at the state level. Our proposal is similar to what neighboring communities are proposing.

Concerns were raised regarding the ability for staff to inspect all the food trucks. Mr. Rausch explained that this policy change is at the state level and doesn't affect the ability to do inspections.

A motion was made by Pam Akers, seconded by Luanne Wielichowski, to continue with the inspection fee as discussed. The motion carried unanimously.

Discussion and decision related to Environmental Health inspections of state licensed temporary and mobile establishments

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| 7. | <p>Discussion and Decision related to Environmental Health inspections of Greenfield facilities that do not process food</p> <p>Changes with the new DATCP oversight has brought about the issue of the former Greenfield-only licenses within the City. These licenses were provided to businesses that sold food items such as candy, gum, and chips and ranged from places like Advanced Auto Parts, Bartz's Party Store, to liquor stores, citrus trucks that visit the city, and others. DATCP has stated that establishments that do not process food but only serve pre-packaged items like those mentioned, cannot be inspected by agent departments unless the agent department creates a separate code and inspection process. The state inspection & licensing system cannot be used for these establishments.</p> <p>Given the small total revenue from these 29 establishments is \$1,218, the need to create a separate code and inspection process, and the extremely low risk of foodborne outbreaks and/or disease transmission, the department recommends no additional inspection of these establishments beginning immediately. The proposal is consistent with other neighboring agent departments.</p> <p>A motion was made by Pam Akers, seconded by Cindy Leranth, to discontinue licensing and inspection of businesses that sell only pre-packaged items. The motion carried unanimously.</p> | <p>Discussion and Decision related to Environmental Health inspections of Greenfield facilities that do not process food</p> |
| 8. | <p>Discussion and Decision related to Greenfield Municipal Code, Chapter 27, Weights and Measures</p> <p>The Weights and Measures portion was taken over by the Health Department from Inspection Services over four years ago. The ordinance is old and needs significant updating. Mr. Rausch believes the entire ordinance needs to be repealed and replaced.</p> <p>A motion was made by Cindy Leranth, seconded by Pam Akers, to repeal and replace the Greenfield Municipal Code, Chapter 27, Weights and Measures. The motion carried unanimously.</p> | <p>Discussion and Decision related to Weights and Measures</p> |
| 9. | <p>Discussion and Decision related to sub-distributor agreement with Stork & Company for the distribution of baby boxes</p> <p>The Greenfield Health Department has had a crib program for a little over a year. This program provides Pack N Play portable cribs to families that meet residency and income guidelines. The overall goal of this program is to reduce infant mortality by providing a safe place for infants to sleep. To date, we've given out over a dozen cribs to eligible families; the distribution of cribs includes education, the viewing of a video on post-partum depression, and a few essential baby items.</p> | <p>Discussion and Decision related to Stork and Co. sub-distributor agreement</p> |

Additionally, and of important note, we have turned away several families due to residency and income guidelines.

To continue to meet the needs of Greenfield residents, and those that we have to turn away for cribs because they do not live in Greenfield, the department is proposing a sub-distributor agreement with Stork & Company for the distribution of baby boxes. The baby boxes provide another safe sleep environment for newborns through approximately three months of age. Additionally, the baby box package includes a small mattress and many infant care items. Stork & Company of Wisconsin donates infant care items which come in maternity packages similar to the ones found in Finland, and manufactured by The Baby Box Co. here in the United States. Stork & Company, located in Franklin, Wisconsin, is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization that serves as a distributor of baby boxes from The Baby Box Company. Approval of this agreement enables the Health Department to become a sub-distributor for the baby boxes in the community, joining other governmental agencies and non-profits in southeastern Wisconsin as distribution sites.

A motion was made by Allen Owen, seconded by Richard Dettmann, to approve a sub-distributor agreement with Stork & Company for the distribution of baby boxes. The motion carried unanimously.

- | | | |
|------------|---|-----------------------|
| 10. | Committee to go into Closed Session Pursuant to Wisconsin Statutes, Section 19.85(1)(f)(g) and 19.85(1)(c) to discuss the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Communicable Disease Updatesb. Environmental Health Updatesc. Personnel Updates | Closed Session |
|------------|---|-----------------------|

A motion was made by Pam Akers, seconded by Cindy Leranth, to adjourn to closed session. The motion carried unanimously.

- | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------|
| 11. | Adjourn Closed Session and Reconvene into Open Session | Open Session |
|------------|--|---------------------|

A motion was made by Pam Akers, seconded by Cindy Leranth to adjourn the closed session and reconvene into open session. The motion carried unanimously.

- | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 12. | Public Health Legislative Updates | Public Health
Legislative Updates |
|------------|-----------------------------------|--|

As discussed at the last BOH meeting, the bill introducing local health department communicable disease funding continues to advance. Due to advocacy and outreach, legislators and staff have said they are familiar with the bill and have heard from constituents on the issue. Members of the Joint Finance Committee (JFC) said they were impressed with how many people came to public hearings to testify.

The Local Health Protection Act was officially introduced last week as Assembly Bill 293 and was referred to the Committee on Health. AB

293 bill author, Rep. Paul Tittl (R-Manitowoc) has agreed to author a budget motion that mirrors the language in AB 293, which takes the bill and puts it into motion form. Rep. Tittl has received co-sponsorship support from Rep. Scott Krug (R-Nekoosa), Rep. Jeff Mursau (R-Crivitz) and Rep. Todd Novak (R-Dodgeville). The motion will be submitted and offered by JFC member Rep. Dale Kooyenga (R-Brookfield) when the committee votes on items for the Department of Health Services. That date is yet to be determined but it will take place this month. Tentatively, a Committee Hearing is scheduled for next Wednesday, May 17th, pending the attendance of three public health representatives to speak in support of the bill.

13. Public Health Research

**Public Health
Research**

The Survey of the Health of Wisconsin continues to work in the city, but they are secretive about where. This survey collects Wisconsin level data about risk and health factors that impact our citizens; it is modeled after the national level NHANES survey. The SHOW, after nearly 10 years, has some great population level data from many areas across the state and this may be a great data source in a few years when the numbers are adequate to share widely.

14. Executive Officer's Report

**Executive Officer's
Report**

The Communicable Disease report was distributed to members. Brief discussion ensued related to ongoing communicable disease cases within the department.

Reappointments to the Board of Health for June 2017 include: Martinez, Kitten, Leranth, Wielichowski. Mr. Rausch requested that each member who is up for reappointment speak with him after the meeting to express interest in continuing on the Board.

15. Chairperson's Report

Chairperson's Report

None

16. Alderperson's Report

Alderperson's Report

None

17. Miscellaneous Board Member's Report

**Miscellaneous Board
Member's Report**

None

18. Items For Future Agendas

Future Agenda Items

- CHA/CHIP Information
- Correction of Minutes

19. Adjournment

Adjournment

A motion was made by Pam Akers seconded by Andrew Martinez to adjourn the meeting at 8:25 PM. The motion carried unanimously.